#### TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

## **PCT**

# RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ (chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire PF030174	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous			
Demande internationale no. PCT/FR2004/003038	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 26 November 2004 (26.11.2004)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 28 November 2003 (28.11.2003)			
Classification internationale des brevets (8 <sup>e</sup> edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#rieure) Voir les informations pertinentes dans le formulaire PCT/ISA/237					
Déposant THOMSON LICENSING					

1.	Le présent rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale selon la règle 44bis.1.a).				
2	Ce RAPPORT comprend un total de 6 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture.				
	Dans les feuilles jointes, toute référence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être entendue, à la place, comme une référence au rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).				
3	Le présent rapport contient des indications relatives aux points suivants :				
	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion			
	Cadre n° Π Priorité				
	Cadre n° III Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle				
	Cadre n° IV	Cadre n° IV Absence d'unité de l'invention			
	Cadre n° V	Cadre n° V Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration			
	Cadre n° VI	n° VI Certains documents cités			
	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale			
	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale			
4	4. Le Bureau international communiquera le présent rapport aux offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 mais pas avant l'expiration du délai de 30 mois à compter de la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une requête expresse à cet égard en vertu de l'article 23.2).				
		-	Date d'établissement du présent rapport 18 October-2006 (18.10.2006)		
Bureau international de l'OMPI			Fonctionnaire autorisé		
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Beate Giffo-Schmitt		
no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: pt03@wipo.int		

Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION PF030174 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/FR2004/003038 26.11.2004 28.11.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L12/64, H04L12/28 Applicant THOMSON LICENSING This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  $\bowtie$ Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003038

Воз	No. I	Basis of this opinion
I.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	tional comments:
		•

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003038

Bo	x No. II	Priority
1.	$\boxtimes$	The following document has not yet been furnished:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Addi	tional observations, if necessary:
		·
-		
1		•

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003038

				CHING AUTI			PC1/FR2004/0030.	30
Вох	No. V	Reasoned statement citations and explan				novelty, inve	ntive step or industrial applicability;	
1.	Statement							
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-12_				YES
			Claims					NO
	Inventiv	e step (IS)	Claime	1-12				VES
								NO
	Industris	ıl applicability (IA)						
	mastr	applicability (174)						
		<u> </u>	Ciurina					
2.	Citations an	d explanations:						
	1.	Reference	is m	ade to	the fol	lowing	documents:	
		D1: US-B1-	-6 43	0 629	(SMYERS	SCOTT 1	DAVID) 6 August	
		2002	2002	-08-06)	;			
		D2: EP-A-3	l 185	034 (5	SONY COR	P) 6 M	arch 2002	
		(2002-	-03-0	6).				
	2.	Document I	)1, w	hich is	s conside	ered to	o be the prior	
		art closes	st to	the su	ubject ma	atter	of claim 1,	
		describes	a 13	94 netv	work and	the p	ossibility of	
		monitoring	g hou	sehold	applian	ces by	means of	
	modules commun			icating	y via sa:	id net	work. The	
		invention	rela	tes mor	re speci:	ficall	y to monitoring	
		the state	of a	n appli	iance in	a net	work. A screen	
		indicates	the	state o	of applia	ances :	such as a VCR or	
		an STB, ar	nd th	e tempe	erature o	of the	location.	
				_				
	2.1	D1 differs	fro	m the s	subject 1	natter	of claim 1 in	
							e representing	
							monitored.	
							-	
	2.2	This featu	ıre e	nables	selecti	ze mon:	itoring. The	

problem that the present invention is intended to

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003038

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement solve can therefore be considered to be that of minimising the effort required for monitoring a number of appliances in a network. 2.3 Document D2 does not mention the specific monitoring of an appliance that wishes to be monitored. 2.4 None of the cited documents, considered alone or in combination, discloses the specific monitoring of an appliance that wishes to be monitored. follows that claim 1 fulfils the requirements of novelty and inventive step under the terms of PCT Article 33(1) to (4). 2.5 Claims 2-6 are dependent on claim 1 and, as such, therefore also fulfil the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step. 3. The same argument applies to claim 7, which consists of the same combination of features as claim 1 but is worded as a device claim. result, the subject matter of claim 7 and also of dependent claims 8-12 fulfils the requirements of novelty and inventive step under the terms of PCT Article 33(1) to (4).